Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,231	BEDARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hal I. Kaplan	2836	
All Participants:	Participants: Status of Application: Claims 1, 2, and 4-18 allowed		
(1) <u>Hal I. Kaplan</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Timothy J. Keefer</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 January 2007	Time: <u>2:00 p.m.</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:  1  Prior art documents discussed:	·		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Attorney authorized Examiner's Amendment to correct a minor claim 1. Attorney also explained some unclear language to the	informality in the specification		
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a record of the substance or	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview	
(F			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)	